

Minutes — Regular Meeting
CHUCKANUT COMMUNITY FOREST PARK DISTRICT
Wednesday, February 26, 2020

At Fairhaven Branch, Bellingham Public Library
 1117 12th St, Bellingham, WA, Fireplace Room 6:00 to 8:00 PM
 Mailing Address: PO Box 4283, Bellingham, WA 98227

Official email addresses for Commissioners, where public may send comments (subject to public disclosure):

John Hymas jhymas1331@gmail.com
 Hue Beattie hue.ccfpd@gmail.com

Frank James fjames.ccfpd@gmail.com
 John McLaughlin johnm.ccfpd@gmail.com
 John G. Brown jbrown.ccfpd@gmail.com

Our Mission: The mission of the Chuckanut Community Forest Park District is to ensure the entirety of the property is protected in perpetuity in public ownership, with respect for its ecological, recreational, and educational functions and to serve as a fiscal mechanism through which the district, via a tax levy, will repay the City of Bellingham for the Greenways Endowment Fund loan.

Call to order: Frank James. Welcome Commissioners and Citizens. Per Chapter 42.30 RCW (Open Public Meetings Act), CCFPD board meetings are open to the public. This meeting is being voice recorded.

Roll Call: Frank James (President), John Hymas (Clerk), Hue Beattie, John McLaughlin, and John G. Brown.

Motion: To approve Agenda for today's meeting. Moved to approve by John Brown, seconded by Hue Beattie. Approved by all present at this time (F James, H Beattie, J Brown) 3/0.

Introductions: Those who wish to be acknowledged, including legal counsel and secretary.

Public Comment: Please limit remarks to 3 minutes.

Kirk Golden: retired family physician, lives near Chuckanut Community Forest and walks on the trails, wants to attend the next trail meeting, was at the last one.

Vince Biciunas: Hello, brought John Brown a copy of the baseline report from Sue Kahn, will be leaving at 7pm to go to another meeting.

Motion: January 22, 2020 meeting minutes. Motion to approve by John Brown and John Hymas seconded it. Minutes approved by all present 4/0.

Park Advisory Board Meeting Report: John Hymas, liaison to Park Advisory Board. Didn't attend the last meeting. The day before I went on the tour of the ASB pond trail, which was really good.

New Business:

- Report on Feb. 10th PRO Plan Hearing by Bellingham City Council. Attended by John Brown. John Brown: Went to a meeting in the afternoon, no opportunity to speak. Spoke at the evening meeting, talked about our appreciation for their support, said that wanted to get them moving forward on the master plan.
- On the PRO Plan, Hue Beattie said there was a photo of Padden Creek mistaken for Chuckanut Creek, said they would fix that. There was also a couple of photos where they

referred to Chuckanut Forest as Fairhaven Park. Frank James said they explained they couldn't change or establish the name until after the planning process. Hue Beattie has an email from Nicole [?] that there had been some name change[?] Frank James: Can you forward the information to Bob Carmichael and can you explore that, we need to find out about it if something is going on.

- John McLaughlin arrived at 6:14. He was in meetings all day.
- Potential changes and clean-up of Web site. Robyn Albro reviewed the written proposal sent to the board. It is mostly cleaning things up and archiving items. Robyn can do some of the changes herself. John King charges \$50 an hour. Moved by John Brown to authorize up to \$250 for website clean-up by Robyn Albro and John King. Seconded by John Hymas. Approved 5/0.

Old Business:

- Wildlife and Habitat Surveys -- Priorities and Wish List Review. John McLaughlin: Referred to Annalise Burns with Public Works by John Brown. She is very interested in volunteer science. She wanted to see the Habitat Priorities and Wish List, which is currently on the website. She said the city was at about the same place as we are in the process looking at what we can do and the initial planning stages.
John Hymas: Annalise is heading urban forest evaluation for people who want to take it on. We have been waiting for years to evaluate our urban forest. She is excellent.
John McLaughlin: We can lead the city in this and serve as a model.
- Beaver Reintroduction: Frank James: What is the next step we need to take to move this forward? John McLaughlin: The city owns and manages the property. They would have to take the lead on this. I think we could basically do the work for them, do all the planning. It is not something new, it is restoring something that is old that was there. They might feel that it is something new and there could be some resistance to that, but we could help them overcome that by resolving uncertainties, coming up with clear plans and linking those to what has worked in other places. That is basically what the students have tried to do. We could consolidate those proposals to come up with a clear idea. Don't have to do like we did with the same public review process with the trail designs.
Frank James: Vince, is this something we would discuss with the Mayor or the Council or the Parks Dept., where would we start? Vince Biciunas: If it is related to the master plan then it starts with Parks, then it would probably go to someone within Public Works like Annalise Burns. Parks would be policy and Public Works would be technical.
John McLaughlin: There is also the issue of funding, for this to succeed would require preparation. A faux beaver dam and a faux lodge so they have a home right away and then they can build their home the right way. This could be done with volunteer labor and donated items or could be a city budget item, which could need Council approval. Frank James: Could we fund it? Bob Carmichael: I believe we could. John McLaughlin: We could get volunteer labor to make the structures, get the beavers there, release them and monitor them. Frank James: Any idea what cost would be? John McLaughlin: For this spring, I am planning for my students to actually design it, to take a deeper dive into it and how to design the dam in the outlet stream. That would give us a better idea of what we would need. Frank James: Might there also be a need to protect the beavers? John McLaughlin: Coyotes are probably a bigger threat than dogs. As long as the beavers have ponded water, I think they are going to be fine. Vince Biciunas: Need signage along with warnings. Frank James: Is there a process with the neighbors? Challenges with neighbors

are that the beavers take down trees that they want. John McLaughlin: That is all part of the plan, communication process, we could hold a public meeting on that and facilitate that for the city. It would be essential to do that. Frank James: Have we talked to the Land Trust about this? John McLaughlin: Land Trust would probably support it.

Frank James: We need to talk to the land trust about it. John Brown: We should talk to Nicole Oliver to see if she is receptive to this.

John Hymas: This might be more than just our issues; this could be a beneficial city wide. Look at the other watersheds in the city where beavers could benefit salmon runs and water retention in the summers with climate change.

John McLaughlin: The city has Climate Adaptations task force, which has been doing a lot of good work thinking about our buildings, our energy supply, transportation, helping the city to move into the place we need to be regarding our relationship with this place and particularly our energy use and carbon emissions. What they are not really doing is addressing mitigating the effects of climate change on the natural environment and this would be a really good example of how to do it. Reintroducing Beavers is one of the most effective ways of mitigating hydrologic impacts of climate change. We could basically lay out the benefits of this and suggest it as a model for the city, answer their questions and reluctance before they even ask.

John McLaughlin: I had the students work on design for preparations. I will synthesize the plans I have and come up with a coherent plan. One of the things that did come out of this is the site analysis. The site is really suitable, except for the ponded habitat. Putting in the dam and lodge will address that. We will talk about it again in June.

- Trail Redesign Project. Revised the design project after the June 26th meeting. The students did new designs based on the new criteria. We can put the best projects on the website. I still need to write up a summary for it. Then people can review it and we can invite additional comments.

John Brown: This is all in the service of the master plan and master planning process?

Frank James: A significant amount of pre-work has been done sorting out what the criteria could be and sorting out inspiring concepts. John McLaughlin: What I have been doing with these courses is trying to come up with projects that students can work on that are real, that can make a difference, trying to serve us, the city and the students at the same time. What I am thinking of for the fall is to have a different project which is a restoration project. What are the components of the master plan that are important and really need to be done well? How can we jump start the master plan, make it cheaper and quicker? I have looked at the master plans of other parks and which components of those are relevant to here and how might we adapt those to the CCF and what jumps out most is a restoration plan.

Not all trails that are in the initial network need to be retained. Some are going to be restored or moved. There are some other restoration needs. What is the vision for our interpretation facilities / signage for the place, interpretive displays?

John Hymas: Vanessa Young who is the new south end of town volunteer coordinator. She wants to do a project in April in CCF that is kind of open. It can be removing invasives or planting or any kind of project. I invited her to our next meeting. She will have a proposal for us. She has worked here in Connelly Creek Nature Area. John McLaughlin: With trail widening and trail proliferation we have created habitat for invasives. We want to take care of that before it gets totally out of control.

Frank James: You inspire me to suggest something. Your time is limited, could we provide the funding for a smart graduate student to take on one of these projects or all of them?

John McLaughlin: Certainly. Or a smart undergraduate student. Frank James: Could that facilitate getting some of this stuff done that you would have to put on a timeline. John

McLaughlin: We have a model for that, Paul Whalen, who helped create all the maps. John

Brown: What kind of money are we looking at? Bob Carmichael: What would be the scope of work? John McLaughlin: Modest cost, \$500 to \$1000 for a student to work. Must choose the student carefully, not all students are going to do the job we are interested in.

Frank James: Collating and summarizing the beaver proposals into one document to get the report done more quickly. John McLaughlin: I have ideas for how to do that. There are a lot of students that work for NSEA, the Skagit Fisheries Enhancement Group, that work on invasive plant control. They could come in with knowledge and experience. Frank James:

The first one is going to be a summary document that is going to bring together all the beaver proposals, 2nd to facilitate the trail redesign project into a more finalized form and maybe even design a process for public engagement. John McLaughlin: Our next step could be to have another public hearing, hear their feedback and then a student could take that and go from there. We have a bunch of things that are great, but I think our immediate interest is expediting the master planning process and not just helping it happen sooner, but actually having a better master plan. We know this place better than most people and we have a responsibility for stewardship and conservation. We ought to be able to anticipate and even describe and delineate what should be in that master plan. If we do that, then we basically define the pieces that then can become student projects.

Frank James: The third thing I wanted to mention is a restoration plan. Someone that would take an initial cut at what would be invasive species and protecting what is there as effectively as we can, and what needs to be restored first. What would be the outline of a master plan for restoration and halting the destruction that is ongoing? Fourth thing is signage/interpretive display that could fit back into the trail design review process. We could approve an amount of money and begin to look for ways of getting those jobs done as cost effectively and as time effectively as possible so that we could accelerate this process. I love that you want to volunteer your time John M., but we have money, we have a process, and why don't we move that forward in a more expeditious way. I know we have access to a pool of labor at the university that is high quality and inexpensive. John McLaughlin:

Fantastic. John Hymas: I would like to invite Vanessa Young to our next meeting, and she can propose what she has to offer. Frank James: But she is going to do it as an

intervention to remove invasives. John Hymas: Yes. Frank James: What I think we need is a planning process that may help guide her in what is a priority. We can take ivy out forever and volunteers would love to do it and it is beneficial, but what is the big picture? If we are taking out ivy and not doing the exponential growth prevention in the first place, maybe it is not the biggest priority? John Hymas: If you want to take out an invasive, you want to replace it. She is up on that. Frank James: I am not against bringing her in and having her input and having her addressing invasives is a great idea. What we haven't done yet is protect what is there. I think we have the resources and the responsibility to do that.

Hue Beattie: Are we going to do an inventory of all the snags? That is something she could do. Frank James: That is something we have some volunteers working on. Don't want to

pay for something we could get for free. We shouldn't allow a modest cost to be a barrier to get stuff done. John McLaughlin: The invasives, except for ivy, mostly are exploiting disturbed soils and so the key to ultimately be successful at that is to restore things so they are not disturbed. All the compacted soils where the trail has widened and the old gravel pit with the blackberries are great examples of disturbed soil. We can restore the conditions that support native plants. If we can restore native plants along with removing existing invasives, that is a successful strategy. So, remember what was there in the first place, remove the existing invasives, restore the soil conditions and do some planting of native plants.

John Hymas: Do we need to make a motion to invite Vanessa Young to our next meeting and vote on that, then she can deal with how she gets paid from the city for going to

meetings and such. John Hymas: I move that we invite Vanessa Young to our next

meeting for Chuckanut Community Forest volunteer activities coordination. She did say that April is her goal. She is the Park & Rec volunteer coordinator for the south side. John Brown: I second that. Approved 5/0. John Hymas: I will invite her to our March meeting. John Brown: The way you have conceptualized this Frank is very innovative and imaginative. I am on your side in all respects. I would like, respecting these four categories of student involvement, Vanessa's involvement and the park board paying for some sort of ground work in preparation for moving ahead, getting ahead of the city's notion of the master plan, what do you think about this Mr. Carmichael? In respect to getting people out there to contribute to or accelerate the master plan. Bob Carmichael: I like the idea. John Brown: I like the idea too. Bob Carmichael: This is a very unusual place and a very unusual master plan as a result, and I don't think the city has as much experience dealing with this sort of master plan. My concern is that the board is going to be disappointed with the outcome of the master plan if it is just left to the city. So I think it is going to be important if you do want something more protective of the environment, that this board is going to need to take more of an leadership role than most other organizations would in this kind of master plan. It is important to identify our objectives before we just start letting out contracts, but to have some kind of overall big picture conception of what we are trying to achieve and what we are prioritizing because I think the citizens are going to expect that as soon as money is spent. It needs to be money well spent for an achievable result. It is going to be important to amend your budget to include the amount you think you need before you let your contract. John Brown: We are doing something original here. Do you have any problem with tax levy money coming out of this board and going in the direction that Frank is talking about? Bob Carmichael: I don't have a legal problem with it. I think you may hear from some of your constituents, who may have a problem with it. Frank James: When you were gone, we asked him for a formal opinion. He said there was no legal problem with doing this. John Brown: Maybe it might be a good idea for you to hold our hand through this and make sure that we are touching all our bases. Bob Carmichael: I will say that there are people out there that may cry foul. Frank James: Yes. We want to do it right and follow the best protocol and process as moving forward. John Brown: So, you didn't want to make a motion to allocate any funds tonight. Frank James: This is in response to John's presentation. I haven't made any motions yet, but I did want to have this discussion about the things on the agenda. Is there a way to move this forward and it appears there is a way to facilitate this process and not simply rely on John's free time three months from now? My familiarity with graduate and undergraduate students is that if you have a small amount of money, you can often get a large amount of work done in a pretty timely way. The criteria for that is going to be that you have someone to supervise them. If John McLaughlin would be willing to take that on and if any of us could help who have expertise in areas to roll up our sleeves and get it done. John McLaughlin: I think we ought to heed Bob's wisdom figuring out what we want and need and what are the priorities. Frank James: What I see the discussion as right now is wildlife habitat survey, beaver reintroduction, trail design, the restoration and the long-standing issue of ongoing degradation of this place, those are the issues that I think are germane to our potential actions. I don't see other major issues now that we would want to address with this type of tool. It would require adjusting our budget. I would envision relative to our budget that it would be a very small amount of money. I am thinking \$500 to \$1000 is a lot of money relative to what we would get. If we had three projects or \$3,000, it is not a huge amount of money. If we could get this process facilitated in a higher quality project and a more specific project that would elicit the kinds of things that we would need from the city I think it would be really beneficial. Something like \$3,000 aimed at three grants for people to do to be involved in producing the kind of information that could help guide a public meeting and process. John Brown: I think it is going to sell with the City Council, but I wonder if it is

going to be giving the park board a feeling that their own activities are being preempted or we are doing end runs or we are jumping in with a lot of student idealistic work. Frank James: We are not doing the master plan. That is their responsibility, not ours. We are doing work ahead of time to provide data and information, just like the volunteer work to do these surveys. That is work we are doing in service of them for free most likely. John McLaughlin: We have also heard from some of the public that left to their defaults, the Parks Dept. may design trails that are a lot wider and much more substantial than would be appropriate in this place. So if we do some of this work for them and say here, let it inform your work, then we have facilitated the process, helped them along and we get the conservation benefits that we are responsible for as well. Frank James: And this is different, our job as I see it is to provide a conservation of a wild space in the urban area and that is not something that parks do. John Brown: Right. Frank James: We have something unique to contribute to that and that is our responsibility. John Hymas: Yes. Hue Beattie: We need to have a unique park plan. They need to learn that word unique. It is not going to be like any other park plan they have ever done. We have unique wildlife, remember the red foxes and the Dept. of Wildlife guy, Jim Johnson, said that the only place he has seen red foxes is in the Chuckanut Forest. Frank James: and cutthroat trout. Hue Beattie: I haven't seen any red foxes yet. John McLaughlin: I have seen their tracks. Frank James: I have seen the Bobcat that walks across the road along where I live, regularly. John McLaughlin: I think it was last fall when I was going through all the trail plan stuff I asked Nicole about the master planning process and what components she would want in it and I suggested a bunch of things and she said that actually she isn't allowed to do any work on the master plan, but the ideas you've suggested sound really good. So, I think that we have carte blanche. Bob Carmichael: I was going to say something similar, that if it is presented right the Parks Dept. would welcome this. Ultimately it is going to be the Parks and city that are going to decide what this master plan is, so we have to cooperate with them. We want to do this in a way that is helpful to them and also achieve our objectives. Even if Nicole can't work on it, we can have some dialogue on it with her that would be helpful to keep Parks up to speed on what we are doing. Frank James: I think that they also need to know that the core mission that we have is different than theirs. The people in this community have decided to tax themselves for that specific purpose. Our contribution, millions of dollars, is in service of this piece of land with ecological integrity, which has not been done anywhere else in the city. That is why we have a park district and that is what we are called to do. John Brown: Do you think Bob Carmichael could run lead us in selling this thing to the city and the City Council? Bob Carmichael: I think you need to have a board member out front with this. I could certainly help support it and if there is a meeting or two I need to come to and help support it, I am happy to do that. John Brown: What do you want to do right now Frank. Frank James: There are two divisions in city government that we need to befriend and inform and the first is the Mayor and the people under him, and the second is the City Council. I think that we might start with a meeting with the Mayor just to say this is our intention, this is what we see as a vision and get his feedback and his advice. John Brown: That is a good idea. Frank James: Then he could help provide leadership, provided he agrees with us. My sense is that he would agree with us and would provide leadership and the same thing with the City Council, as policy makers, and it is a good idea to meet with them and get their counsel and let them know what we are doing. John Brown: What do you want to do right now. Frank James: Bob, in terms of the mechanics of doing something like this, it seems like we have identified some very specific things we would like to do moving forward. Do we need a more comprehensive plan about what we need to do beyond what we already have when you say that we need to have a big picture? Bob Carmichael: We need to be purposeful about what we do. I don't mean to inject a bunch of process that will just delay us, I think we have to guard against that. We

need to be purposeful about what we are doing. You have listed some things that I think we can be looking at. We have a list from John of priorities. This list of priorities is not really a to do list, which is what you were trying to get at a minute ago. We don't really have anything in writing that says, here is what our vision is, here is what we want to do. That is a really important step to take.

John McLaughlin: I think what Bob is getting at is more along the lines is some of the criteria in the new trail designs. You want to provide access from all directions, no matter what direction they are coming from, they have a trailhead to go to. You have a loop trail so that people can walk around. You have secondary trails so that people can see that they are alone in the place. You have enough undisturbed nature that it continues to perform ecological functions. Those are features of a design of a management of a place that supports community values that we are responsible for upholding. I think that is more of what Bob is getting at than a list of what do we survey. The surveys report those values and measure things that are related to those values. If we can articulate that and even further, if you look back on the mayoral campaign, one of the things that allowed the Mayor who won that election really stood out with Chuckanut Community Forest. He expressed a longer-term vision and concern about the future of this place, the city, Whatcom County, and the trends coming down on us. Our generation could let the quality of this place slip away unless we are very intentional about what we are doing. He was particular about containing the expansion of urban impacts. But if you are going to contain those impacts, then it is going to be essential that life in the city still retains some of the qualities that people tend to leave the city to go find. If you don't have to have your five acres out in the county, but you can experience many of those same benefits with a walk through the Chuckanut Community Forest, then we are helping the city to achieve its jobs of stewarding this place and achieving the vision that the Mayor has expressed. So, if we can tie into that and help him have thoughtful ideas about how we can help him achieve his vision and address some difficult societal issues, I think we will enlist his support.

John Brown: That is very persuasive.

Frank James: The city has a lot of money, but we are much more nimble and narrowly focused than they are, giving us the opportunity to make a unique contribution. Preserving the quality space is why we are here. That is the core of our mission.

John Hymas: Our new Mayor was one of the founders of the Greenways movement, which started with Chuckanut Forest. It is the City Council we must work on.

Frank James: The Parks people all work for the Mayor, that is why I like talking to him first. I think we need to talk to both, there are administrative and policy issues both of which should be informed by their opinions too. We should meet with the Mayor and meet with whoever on the City Council, the park & rec liaison of the City Council. We can make a list of projects we want to do with voluntary efforts and maybe with some relatively minor financial support to get it done.

John Brown: I truly think that you and John would make a splendid case before the Mayor. I am not trying to put this on you, but I can't do it as effectively. Suggest Frank and John can meet with the Mayor. (Frank and John McLaughlin agree to meet with the Mayor.)

Frank James: So why don't we do that as the next step in looking at this and have a discussion with him and be informed by what his thoughts about it are. Move towards finding the right people in the City Council to meet with and get their input on this suggestion. Sound good Bob?

Bob Carmichael: I think it sounds good. I think also on our end we do want to be thinking about what are we wanting? What are we looking to achieve? I think we have gotten a great start here with John's list. Maybe we need some judgements as to what we are going to focus on and why.

John McLaughlin: That list is more designed for data that we should be collecting, assessing what we have now, and monitoring in the future. I think what we have been talking about now is what does this place need now, what kind of restoration, what kind of trail design? We want to have a very clear ask that we are presenting to the

Mayor. I think we have made a compelling case as to why that ask should be granted, but we need to do some head scratching as to what we want. Bob Carmichael: How would you articulate that ask right now? Hue Beattie: Do you want beavers or not!? John McLaughlin: I wouldn't start with beavers, as important as it is, I think it is one of the highest restoration priorities we have got. Ultimately if we think about where the restoration plan is going, we have basic plant removal and planting, restoring compacted trails, getting rid of a lot of trails. That's going to take a lot of investment. John Hymas: That is what we can bring up with Vanessa Young next month, because she is like what can we do. John McLaughlin: What it takes to really remove a trail, not just throw wood across it, is going to take some work, some investment. If we don't do that, then it is already out of our control. Bob Carmichael: Maybe the theme or thesis I am trying to press you on, maybe the word is restoration? John McLaughlin: If we are thinking, what is this master plan eventually going to look like? The conditions now are a long way away from that master plan. Bob Carmichael: Most master plans don't have a restoration component, so that is why we want to talk to the city now about it and get ahead of it and build towards what can we do to achieve meaningful restoration. John McLaughlin: Essentially what happened is that the place became public and has been receiving a lot of use without an effective trail system and without any controls. In the years that has been occurring, there has been a lot of degradation. So, what we want to do is come up with a restoration to restore that and a trail system that works so that stuff doesn't happen. Bob Carmichael: Everything fits within that umbrella of restoration, the trail plan, beavers, the snags, is all about restoration. I think you hit on it, that is what we are trying to achieve. Hue: The big picture of restoration was mentioned in our hearing for the trails. Tip Johnson talked about the interurban suspension bridge. Since that time, he got back to me, said he met this guy, an engineer, that designs suspension bridges all over the world. He lives down in Seattle and can come up and talk to us when we are ready. That is something that we could put a little restoration thing into the master plan and that would give them something to chase after. John McLaughlin: An even bigger ask, that might meet with a little more resistance, that bridge is maintaining a connection between the Chuckanut Community Forest and the state lands to the south and we are losing that connection as development nibbles away. If we could get some buy-in from the planning department, maybe it involves Greenways funding to either purchase, protect or not approve so many development applications that are going to narrow that corridor and fragment that connection from the state lands. John Hymas: It is all sort of Greenway now, although there is no safe access across Old Samish Way for wildlife. It is public, not private land. John McLaughlin: Particularly down in the southwest there has been more development, maybe it is all done. I would have to look at the zoning maps. John Brown: John Hymas, you are going to invite Vanessa to the next meeting. Frank James: John McLaughlin and I are going to meet with the Mayor and somebody from City Council, just to get feedback on this direction we would like to take.

Monthly expenses and cash flow sheets.

Petty Cash: WECU Bank account balance as of 1/31/2020 was \$2,699.43.

Treasurer's Report: As of January 31, 2020, Whatcom Co. Treasurer's Monthly Report, beginning unencumbered cash balance (12/01) \$241,580.96, ending unencumbered cash balance (12/31) \$204,711.74, and ending unencumbered cash balance (01/31) \$195,229.68.

Motion: To approve District Payroll Input Form, wages for Robyn Albro, 20 hours in January 2020, total gross of \$500. Moved to approve by John Hymas, seconded by John Brown. Approved by 5/0.

Consent Agenda: Motion to approve following payments. Moved to approve by John Brown and seconded by John McLaughlin. Approved 5/0.

- Payment on the January 15, 2020, Invoice #90227 from Carmichael Clark PS for \$387.50, for regular professional services.
- Payment on the February 17, 2020, Invoice #2341 from Highwaters Media, LLC, for \$132.50 for website updates.
- Payment on the January 31, 2020, Invoice from Robyn Albro for \$37.20 for mileage in December and January.
- Payment on the February 3, 2020, Invoice from the County Auditor for \$79.11 for amounts underbilled in the General Election in November.

Next meeting: Wednesday March 25th, 2020, Fairhaven Library, **Fireplace Rm** 6 PM.

Adjourn. Time: 7:20 pm.